

(REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION BY THE INVENTOR, page 2)

Docket Number (Optional)

125.003USR1

All errors corrected in this reissue application arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. As a named inventors, we hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Name	Registration	Name	Registration
Fogg, David N.	35,138	Polglaze, Daniel J.	39,801
Kelly, Mark D.	39,467	Ryan, Laura A.	49,055
Leffert, Thomas W.	40,697	Slifer, Russell D.	39,838
Lundberg, Scott V.	41,958	Walseth, Andrew C.	43,234
Myrum, Tod A.	42,922		

Correspondence Address: Direct all communications about the application to:

 Customer Number

27073

Customer Number

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Firm or Individual Name	Mark D. Kelly FOGG SLIFER & POLGLAZE, P.A.
---	---

Address P.O. Box 581009

City	Minneapolis	State	Minnesota	Zip	55458-1009
Country	USA	Telephone	612-312-2200	Fax	612-312-2250

We hereby declare that all statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine and imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this declaration is directed.

Full name of first inventor (given name, family name)

James Leroy Snell

Inventor's signature _____ Date _____

Residence _____ Citizenship _____
Palm Bay, FL USAMailing Address _____
RR #4, Box 145, Waseca, Minnesota 56093

Full name of second joint inventor (given name, family name)

Inventor's signature _____ Date _____

Residence _____ Citizenship _____

Mailing Address _____

Full name of third joint inventor (given name, family name)

Inventor's signature _____ Date _____

Residence _____ Citizenship _____

Mailing Address _____



Additional joint inventors are named on separately numbered sheets attached hereto.

REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION BY THE INVENTORS	Docket Number (optional) 125.003USR1
<p>As a below named inventors, we hereby declare that: Our residences, post office addresses and citizenships are stated below next to our names. We believe we are the original, first and joint inventors of the subject matter which is described and claimed in patent number <u>5,982,807</u>, granted <u>November 9, 1999</u>, and for which a reissue patent is sought of the invention entitled</p> <p>HIGH DATA RATE SPREAD SPECTRUM TRANSCIEVER AND ASSOCIATED METHODS</p> <p>the specification of which</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is attached hereto.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> was filed on _____ as reissue application number _____ and was amended on _____ (if applicable)</p> <p>We have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. We acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56. We verily believe the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperative or invalid, for the reasons described below. (Check all boxes that apply.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> by reason of a defective specification or drawing.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than he had the right to claim in the patent.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> by reason of other errors.</p> <p>At least one error upon which reissue is based is described below: If the reissue is a broadening reissue, such must be stated with an explanation as to the nature of the broadening:</p> <p>This reissue application is based on an error in that the applicant and applicant's attorney failed to appreciate the full scope of the invention and thus claimed less subject matter than could have been claimed. The issued claims contain limitations that are not essential for practicing the broad teachings of the invention disclosed in the application. Thus, this reissue application is intended as a broadening reissue.</p> <p>In one example of this error, Applicant employed means plus function language in the claims. The claims presented in this reissue application avoid the use of means plus function language and thus broaden the scope of the invention.</p>	